## DEKALB AGRESEARCH, INC. Thomasboro, Illinois

## 1. Genetic resistance to race T Helminthosporium maydis (Nisk and Miyaki).

Following the severe southern corn leaf blight epiphytotic in 1970, we began a program of screening for genetic resistance to race T within a number of heterogeneous composite populations, ostensibly in T cytoplasm and carrying the  $\underline{Rf}_1$  and  $\underline{Rf}_2$  restorer genes. These populations had been assembled around 1960, principally by Basil Tsotsis, originally for the purpose of the extraction of male-sterile restoring lines and had been maintained by open-pollination in isolation while being subjected to mass selection for resistance to race 0 of  $\underline{H}_0$  maydis, among other diseases.

The composites were planted in our 1970-71 Homestead, Flao, winter nursery and inoculated with ground leaf tissue obtained from severely diseased fields (all ensuing nurseries were similarily inoculated). Seed from ears of about 500 relatively resistant plants was bulked and planted in the Thomasboro, Illo, nursery in the summer of 1971. Resistant plants were again selfed and selected ears were shelled individually, and the S2 progeny were planted, ear-to-row, in the fall of 1971 at Homestead. Resistant lines were again selfed and also crossed by rfrf rfr rfr normal male plants. Bulked S3 and BC0 progeny of selected S2 lines were planted in a second winter nursery at Homestead in early 1972; resistant lines were again selfed and the BC0 crosses were again crossed by normal males, and the resulting S4 line and BC1 progeny were planted in the 1972 Thomasboro summer nursery.

Most of the  $\mathrm{BC}_1$  lines were completely fertile, indicating that the corresponding  $\mathrm{S}_4$  lines were probably in normal cytoplasm. However, nine out of the total 146  $\mathrm{BC}_1$  lines did segregate for sterility, confirming that the corresponding  $\mathrm{S}_4$  lines were actually in T cytoplasm (Table 1). In general, the disease reaction of the backcrosses was more severe than that of the  $\mathrm{S}_4$  lines which indicates that the resistance is genetic and not cytoplasmic in nature. Disease reaction varied among the  $\mathrm{S}_4$  lines; however, lines with scores of 2.0 or less appeared to have a very high degree of resistance. In these lines, both the number and size of lesions was drastically curtailed. The line x backcross interaction evident in the

Table 1 Disease reaction scored on a scale of increasing severity from 1.0 to 9.0 of several  $S_{l_{\downarrow}}$  lines and their corresponding backcrosses to normal males. Sterility-fertility reaction of the backcrosses also included.

Pedigree		No. of plants		
	Disease reaction	Fertile	Partial	Sterile
300LMTR_4_S4 800LMTR_4_S2 x n <sup>2</sup>	2.0 5.0	11	2	8
66AMSC-10-S4 66AMSC-10-S2 x n <sup>2</sup>	1.5 7.0	10	1	10
70AC-10-S4 70AC-10-S2 x n <sup>2</sup>	4.5 5.0	9	2	7
400FTR-1-S4 400FTR-1-S2 x n <sup>2</sup>	3.0 7.5	8	0	15
400FTR-5-S4 400FTR-5-S2 x n <sup>2</sup>	4.0 8.0	9	3	7
800FTR-7-S4 800FTR-7-S2 x n <sup>2</sup>	3.0 5.0	16	2	2
800LFTR-5-S4 800LFTR-5-S2 x n	1.5 5.0	12	0	8
800LFTR-6-S4 800LFTR-6-S2 x n	5.0 5.0	12	0	5
1000FTR-7-S4 1000FTR-7-S2 x n	2.0 5.0	14	0	5

data may have been caused from the use of normal males which varied in maturity and possibly in genetic resistance to race T. Our preliminary observation is that the inheritance of resistance is quantitative and mostly additive, though we plan to use this material in further experiments designed to provide more precise genetic information.

G. R. Johnson