## Linkage data involving T1-5 interchanges.\*

The backcross data from crosses with  $\underline{P}$  br  $\underline{f}$  bm<sub>2</sub>,  $\underline{bm_1}$  pr  $\underline{ys}$  yg or  $\underline{a_2}$   $\underline{bm_1}$  pr  $\underline{v_2}$  have been summarized in Tables 1 and 2 as 3-point data for use in establishing the order of the breakpoints in relation to the marker genes. A few are from  $F_2$  and a few are from an interchange homozygote. The breakpoints are based mainly on pachytene analyses (made by John T. Stout, with a few by Dr. Wm. Weinheimer), combined with observations on intercrosses and the original observations of Longley, 1961.

An interchange designated SL has the breakpoint in the short arm of 1 and the long arm of 5, etc.

Many of the complementary recombination classes deviate greatly from 1:1. The yg class is often deficient, but not as much so as the  $\underline{v}_2$  class. For chromosome 1, based on 384 plants from backcrosses of normal plants, the recombination values were:  $\underline{P}-\underline{br}=46.6\%$ ,  $\underline{br}-\underline{f}=4.7\%$ ,  $\underline{f}-\underline{bm}_2=41.9\%$ . For chromosome 5, based on 1086 plants from backcrosses of normal plants, the recombination values were:  $\underline{bm}-\underline{Pr}=14.5\%$ ,  $\underline{pr}-\underline{ys}=12.7\%$ ,  $\underline{ys}-\underline{yg}=33.3\%$ . Based on 180 plants in the  $\underline{A}_2$  class:  $\underline{a}_2-\underline{bm}=3.9\%$ ,  $\underline{bm}-\underline{pr}=20.0\%$ ,  $\underline{pr}-\underline{v}_2=28.9\%$ . If the same data are used, but the  $\underline{Pr}-\underline{pr}$  locus omitted, the recombination values in the  $\underline{A}_2$  class (175 plants) were:  $\underline{A}_2-\underline{bm}=4.0\%$ ,  $\underline{A}_2-\underline{v}_2=37.7\%$ ; and in the  $\underline{a}_2$  class (227 plants) they were:  $\underline{a}_2-\underline{bm}=14.5\%$ ,  $\underline{a}_2-\underline{v}_2=48.9\%$ . The  $\underline{Bm}:\underline{bm}$  ratio was a perfect 1:1 and the  $\underline{v}_2:\underline{v}_2$  ratio was 231:171, a large deviation from 1:1 but only slightly greater than that for  $\underline{A}_2:\underline{a}_2$ . No explanation is offered.

## Chromosome 5 data for the interchanges

The 3-point data for the LL-3 heterozygote are not decisive, but the test for linkage in the homozygote shows that the breakpoint was not in the <u>pr-ys-yg</u> region. This places it in the <u>bm-pr</u> region.

The data from the SL-5 homozygote (breakpoint in 5 at L.19) show that  $\underline{bm}$  and  $\underline{pr}$  are no longer linked. Hence  $\underline{pr}$  is distal to this breakpoint. The 3-point backcrosses for the heterozygote will be grown this summer. The LS-3 data are of some interest. The break is in the short arm but the order indicated in one test is  $\underline{A_2-bm-T}$ , with a recombination

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by N.S.F. Grant GB8742

Table 1 Linkage data involving the Tl-5 interchanges and genetic markers in chromosome 5.

All are backcrosses unless marked otherwise.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	na renta"			parental		reco	omb. recomb.			recor	recomb. t		% recomb.			Code
	genotype	genotype O		in 1		in 2		in 1,2		plants	in l	in 2	end markers	no.			
1-5(044-10) L.05-s.83‡	no d	ata												SS-1			
1-5e 5.08-5.16	$\frac{T + Pr}{+ bm pr}$	139	117	2	17	14	24	1	1	315	6.7	12.7	18.1	SS-2			
1-5(8972) s.56-s.29‡	$\frac{T + Pr}{+ bm pr}$	57	46	1	5	12	、20	-	1	142	4.9	23.2	26.8	SS-3			
1-5(5525) s.66-s.52	$\frac{T + Pr}{+ bm pr}$	180	225	13	14	38	27	9	5	511	8.0	15.4	18.0	ss-4			
11	T A <sub>2</sub> + a <sub>2</sub> bm	37	43	2	14	1	7	-	-	103	17.1	9•7	20.5	11			
1-5 i s.69-s.71	$\frac{T + Pr}{+ bm pr}$	119	123	23	22	11	13	8	8	327	18.7	12.2	21.1	SS-5			
11	T A <sub>2</sub> + + a <sub>2</sub> bm	158	172	32	27	11	19	12	3	434	17.1	10.4	20.5	SS-5			
1-5f L.09-L.20	+ T Pr bm + pr	149	176	1	0	6	7	1	0	340	0.6	4.1	4.1	LL-1			
11	T Pr + + T pr ys y		21	7	2	4	7	8	1	65	27.7	30.8	30.8	11			
11	T Pr + T pr ys	17	32	10	5					64	23.4			11			
		<b>.</b>												LL-2			

no data 1-5H L.09-L.50

Table 1. (continued)

		pare	ntal	recomb.		recomb.		recomb.		total	%	recomb	•	Code
	genotype	0		in		in		in 1		plants	in l	in 2	end markers	no.
1-5c L.44-L.34	<u>T + +</u> + ys yg	92	40	1	13	42	30	6	10	234	12.8	41.9	36.8	LL-3
11	pr T + Pr + ys	76	82	3	8	6	16	0	2	193	6.7	12.4	17.1	71
1-5a L.58-L.45	$\frac{+ T +}{bm + ys}$	65	49	3	7	3	3	1	0	131	8.4	5.3	12.2	LL-4
1-5(7267) L.92 <b>-</b> L.82‡	$\frac{+}{ys} + \frac{T}{yg}$	95	50	33	6	5	16	10	6	221	24.9	16.7	27.1	LL-5
1-5(8782) s.02-L.01	$\frac{T + Pr}{+ bm pr}$	131	110	2	3	10	7	1	2	266	3.0	7•5	8.3	SL-1
1-5b S.09-L.05	$\frac{T + +}{+ \text{ ys yg}}$	69	47	5	30	46	22	3	14	267	19.8	32.2	38.6	<b>SL-</b> 2
1-5(7219) S.15-L.33	T Pr + + pr ys	122	125	1	3	3	2	1	1	258	2.3	2.7	3.5	SL-3
11	$\frac{\text{T Pr +}}{\text{+ pr v}_2}$	62			7		33		3	105	9•5	34.3	38.1	11
11	+ T Pr bm + pr	125	127	0	0	1	4	0	1	258	0.4	2.3	1.9	TŦ
n	T + + T ys yg	F <sub>2</sub>								32	32.3			"
1-5(6899) S.37-L.11	T Pr + + pr ys	106	114	4	11	8	13	1	2	259	6.9	9•3	13.9	SL-4
11	$\frac{A_2 + T}{a_2 bm +}$	143	245	4	24	39	6	4	6	471	8.1	11.7	15.5	11

Table 1. (continued)

		pare	parental		mb.	recomb. in 2		reco	mb.	total	%	Code			
	genotype	0		in l				in 1,2		plants	in 1	in 2	end markers	no.	
11	T Pr + + T pr ys y	=**F2								92	16.7	18.0		11	
1-5(4613) S.78-L.19	$\frac{T + Pr +}{T \text{ bm pr y}}$	48	44	37	52	5	5	9	11	211	51.7	14.2		SL-5	
1-5(5045) 8.94-L.45	$\frac{Pr T +}{pr + ys}$	238	282	4	2	6	32	4	0	568	1.8	7.5	7.7	SL-6	
ti	+ Pr T bm pr +	239	311	3	3	5	0	2	5	568	2.3	2.1	1.9	11	
1-5(6197) L.02-S.01	$\frac{T + Pr}{+ bm pr}$	164	131	10	2	11	17	1	1	337	4.1	8.9	11.9	LS-1	
1-5(043-15) L.10-S.42	no d	ata												LS-2	
1-5(6401) L.16-s.19	$\frac{T + Pr}{+ bm pr}$	55	82	3	-	-	2	2	-	144	3.5	2.8	3.5	LS-3	
11	A <sub>2</sub> + T	129	123	4	5	4	6	0	0	271	3.3	3.7	7.0	t†	
1-5(070-12) L.34-s.62	a <sub>2</sub> bm + no d	ata												LS-4	
1-5(7212) L.44-S.21	T + Pr + bm pr	160	157	1	4	7	12	2	1	344	2.3	6.4	7.0	LS-5	
Iţ	A <sub>2</sub> T +	64	62	8	1	-	1	1	1	138	8.0	2.2	7.2	11	
1-5(4597) L.51- <b>S</b> .44	$\frac{a_2 + bm}{T + Pr}$	114	114	4	10	12	17	-	-	271	5.2	10.7	10.7	LS-6	

Table 1. (continued)

	genotype		ot o l	reco	mb	recomb.		recomb.		total	%	Code		
		pare: 0	III	in		in		in l		plants	in l	in 2	end markers	no.
tt .	T A <sub>2</sub> +	132	144	4	22	3	6	12	2	325	12.3	7.1	10.8	11
1-5g L.56-S.78	$\frac{+ a_2 bm}{T + Pr}$	115	88	45	45	25	19	8	10	355	30.4	17.5	37•7	LS-7
1-5(8041) L.80-S.10	+ bm pr T + Pr + bm pr	343	432	6	5	42	28	9	3	868	2.6	9.4	9•3	LS-8

<sup>‡ -</sup> breakpoints are those reported by Longley, 1961

<sup>\* -</sup> A<sub>2</sub> data only \*\* - F<sub>2</sub> data only

Table 2
Linkage data involving T1-5 interchanges and genetic markers in chromosome 1

		pare	ntal	recomb.		recomb.		recon	ıb.	total	%	recomb	o	Code
	genotype	O	illas	in 1		in		in 1,		plants	in l	in 2	end markers	no.
1-5e S.08-S.16	+ T + P + br	8	10	3	2	3	3	0	5	34	29.4	32.4	32.4*	SS-2
1-5(5525)	$\frac{T + +}{+ P \text{ br}}$	28	17	2	9	14	24	4	1	99	16.2	43.4	49.5	SS-4
s.66-s.52 1-5i	$\begin{array}{c} + P \text{ br} \\ \hline T + + \\ + P \text{ br} \end{array}$	42	21	4	7	11	22	5	2	114	15.8	35.1	38.6	SS-5
s.69-s.71 1-5a	+ P br + + T br f +	86	70	4	2	4	6	0	2	174	4.6	6.9	9.2	LL-4
L.58-L.45 1-5b	br f + <u>T + +</u>	11	9	0	7	9	10	0	1	47	17.0	42.6	55•3	SL-2
S.09-L.05 1-5(6899)	+ br bm	30	29	1	7	18	25	2	3	115	11.3	41.7	44.3	SL-4
s.37-L.11 1-5(4613)	$\frac{T + +}{+ P \text{ br}}$ $T + +$	25	29	3	3	13	30	4	2	109	11.0	44.9	44.9*	SL-5
s.78-L.19 1-5(5045)	+ P br	17	15	10	5	10	10	6	6	79	34.2	40.5	44.3	sl-6
S.94-L.45	$\frac{T + +}{+ P \text{ br}}$	41	53	0	3	30	52	2	0	181	2.8	46.4	47.0	LS-5
1-5(7212) L.44-S.21	$\frac{T + +}{+ \text{ br bm}}$					-	23	3	6	174	9.8	35.1	34.5	LS-6
1-5(4597) L.51-S.44	$\frac{T + +}{+ br bm}$	55	50	5	3	29					7.0	38.3		LS-7
1-5g L.56-S.78	$\frac{T + +}{+ \text{ br bm}}$	32	33	1	5	20	22	0	2	115			·	LS-8
1-5(8041) L.80-S.10	$\frac{+}{br} \frac{T}{+} \frac{+}{bm}$	8	4	4	5	1	2	1	0	25	40.0	16.0	40.0	125-0

value of 3.7 for <u>bm-T</u>. The other test is non-discriminatory. The <u>bm</u> marker is known to be in the short arm, very close genetically to the centromere. If it is a centromere marker, then this order shows the interchange is SL and not IS. The diakinesis observations from the intercrosses indicate this interchange is either SL or LS. The <u>pr</u> marker is at about 5L.3, <u>ys</u> is distal to SL-6 at 5L.45, <u>yg</u> is distal to LL-5 at 5L.82.

The data for chromosome 1 markers are based on relatively small numbers.

John T. Stout Richard V. Kowles Wm. Weinheimer Chas. R. Burnham

## 4. A stain for pollen sterility determinations.\*

A simple staining technique can be used for efficient and accurate recording of pollen sterility. Certain advantages result from the use of a gel-like mixture prepared as follows:

1 gm of agar is dissolved in 50 ml of distilled water and boiled for 3 minutes.

6 ml of strong I<sub>2</sub>KI is added to the agar (0.3 gm I<sub>2</sub> and 1.0 gm KI in 100 cc H<sub>2</sub>O).

14 ml of 1N HCl is added.

Allow to cool and mix well.

Pollen forced from the anther into the substance will stain immediately. Mixing the pollen well before placing a cover glass (one-third size) over it insures random dispersal of grains for predetermined sweeps of the slide. Differential dispersion of aborted and viable grains to the edges of the cover glass does not take place. The gel also prevents subsequent movement of grains on the slide during the counting. Three sweeps will usually constitute over 500 counted grains in a minimal amount of time. The mixture maintains its gel and staining properties for long periods of time at room temperature, even though the color of the mixture fades.

Richard V. Kowles

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by N.S.F. Grant GB8742