MAIZE GENETICS COOPERATION

NEWS LETTER

13

April 15, 1939

The data presented here are not to be used in publications without the consent of the authors.

Department of Flant Breeding Cornell University Ithaca, N. Y. MAIZE GENETICS COÖPERATION DEPARTMENT OF PLANT BREEDING CORNELL UNIVERSITY ITHACA, NEW YORK

January 21, 1939

To Maize Geneticists :-

The call for material for the 1939 Co-op News Letter has purposely been delayed to allow you more time to analyze last summer's results. Since it is desirable to have the Letter available not later than the first part of March, however, the individual contributions must be received by the Co-op by February 15, 1929.

In order to insure a more uniform system of presentation, please refer to previous News Letters for suggestions concerning the form of your write-up.

Sincerely yours,

D. J. Langham

D. G. Langham, Secretary

DGL:B

948

Vol. 13

MAIZE GENETICS COÖPERATION DEPARTMENT OF PLANT BREEDING CORNELL UNIVERSITY ITHACA, NEW YORK

April 15, 1939

To Maize Geneticists:

The material in this letter was obtained from many sources, and has been organized under the following heads:

I. General News Items.

II. Seed Stocks Grown in 1938.

III. Seed Stocks Received For Propagation in 1939.

IV. Maize Publications.

V. Maize Genetics Cooperation Mailing List.

I. General News Items

University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina -

1. The Argentine varieties of commercial corn are all flint and can be classified in three groups according to endosperm color:

a. Varieties with orange endosperm.

D .		172	ACTTON			
	11		white	11		
с.			WILL DO			commy the
Genetical anal	veis sho	ws tha	t both a	roups a	and D	Carry one
Genetical and	yord and	- Pi	+	the war	ieties	Colorado
genes <u>Y1Y1Y3Y</u>	. In th	e Ilrs	r group	OTTO ACT	100100	
60000 -1-1-3-3		1 12		arrown F	the va	rieties Amarillo
Cuarenton were	tested;	in th	e second	r Bronh	OTTO ACO	rieties Amarillo
	1770 1000	10.25	no 01114		H COLO.	L DOONOON DE
Comun and Amai	TITO PHG	110	no arres	Temm	What to 1	rint the only
in in due	to modi	TVINC	TACTOTS	. LUILE	WITT PC	TTITO' and and
à anu o ro un	1		antod 1	nag the	conoty	pe v. v. Y. Y. Y.
variety of whi	te endos	perm .	esteu, s	1000 0110	80	*1-1-2-3
A search and search and						

2. The gene <u>al</u>, besides the known effects upon the development of chlorophyll, reduces the intensity of the endosperm color. In ears segregating <u>AlAl</u>, <u>Alal</u>, and <u>alal</u>, most kernels which have the last combination may be recognized because they have a lighter yellow color. Plants <u>alal</u> give ears with light yellow endosperm. In numerous F_2 , no plants of homozygous <u>al</u> and deep yellow or orange endosperm have been found. T. M. Andres

University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minn. -

1. Linkage relations of \underline{gl}_{4} with \underline{wx} and \underline{sh} . The sample of \underline{gl}_{4} was found in Minnesota in one of our cultures and was being studied at the time of Dr. Sprague's report on \underline{gl}_{4} .

							Reco	
Genes	Phase	XY	Xy	XY	XY	Total	No.	_%_
Sh Wx	CB	213	66	39	172	490	105	21.4
sh Gl ₄	CB	202	77	55	156	490	132	26.9
Wx Glu	CB	235	17	22	216	490	39	8.0

Order of genes sh-wx-glu

2. Linkage of zebra seedling-4 (\underline{zb}_4) with <u>P</u> in chromosome 1. Results are similar to those obtained with F₂ data.

							Reco	omb.
Genes	Phase	<u>XY</u>	Xy	<u>XX</u>	xy	Total	No.	90
Zb4 P	CB	67	6	3	67	143	9	6.3

3. An upright habit of the tassel characteristic of inbred line 19 used in 1st cross K (15 x 19) proved recessive in crosses with normal tassel but dominant in the F_1 of the cross between upright and \underline{ts}_4 .

4. I believe it is possible now to arrange the linkage groups in our linkage map still further, so that the linkage groups are oriented in a still more uniform scheme in relation to the chromosomes. In the linkage map sent out with the last corn letter, they are oriented so that the upper end corresponds to, or is in the direction of the short arm end of the chromosome with the exception of #3 and probably #8. My evidence on #3 indicates that this group should be reversed with <u>cr</u> at the zero point or in the direction of the short arm end. For #8, the only data I have are those given below. The numbers are too small, but they suggest that this group should be reversed also, placing i1 at the zero point. This means that the zero point will be moved as new data come along, but that will be true of several other groups as they stand now.

5. The series of <u>r</u> and <u>R</u> alleles listed in the corn linkage summary does not include the one designated in the original paper on plant colors (pp. 111-113) as r^{rg} . This allele was there described as giving in the dilute types (A b Pl and A b pl) green anthers with red color at the base of the plants, whereas the ordinary <u>r</u>^g allele gave green anthers and green base plants. One suggestion is that the superscript for the r-series may need to be a tri-letter one (anther color, silk color, and base color). C. R. Burnham

1. L1	nkage data	a on chi	OHOBOR			m 1 - 7		omb.
Genes	Phase	XY	XY	XY	XX	Total	No.	-70-
Msg J1	CB	35	l	3	41	80	4	5.0
MsgT8-9a	CB	54	26	16	24	120	42	35.0
J. TS-9a	CB	56	18	26	31	131	44	33.6
	ating the	order:	TE-9a	- j ₁	- msg	- end of	long	arm.
				-				irnham

2. Sterilizing seeds for germination. A hypochlorite solution sold under the trade name "Chlorox" is easier to use than the bleaching powder solution. Field corn soaked in Chlorox solutions at 5% and stronger for 1/2 hour completely controlled the molds but reduced germination. There was very little mold and normal germination at 3% and also at 2%. For genetic mate-rial a solution of 2 cc. of commercial Chlorox to 100 cc. of water is recommended, soaking the grains for 1/2 hour. Other hypochlorite solutions are on the market but may vary in the % of the active ingredient.

J. A. Rigney and C. R. Burnham

Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, New Haven, Conn. -1. In seeds treated with X-rays shortly after fertilization numerous paired mosaic areas are found associated with losses of all of the easily identified marker genes such as C, Mx, Pr, Su. In many cases areas showing losses of any of these markers are paired with areas that are either lighter or darker than normal in varying shades of aleurone color. Not all of these can be due to shifts of alcurone color genes and it seems likely therefore that breaks and rearrangements of chromosome fragments may alter the cell metabolism and indirectly affect aleurone color. In the same way other activities of the cell are altered, notably in starch formation, viability, and growth control. D. F. Jones

2. Fine mottling of rrR seeds. In 1937 an ear of Connecticut 720 y Su A C r when pollinated by C697 (a C R) gave seeds that were all mottled. There were 94 regular or coarse mottled kernels, 86 with very fine mottling, color often limited to a few patches of from one to a few cells each, and 6 colorless. (These probably were fine mottling where no color was visible, or were contaminations. They are being tested).

In 1938 seeds of the two classes were planted in separate rows and selfed or again crossed by 697 A-tester. Two ears of the fine mottled stock selfed produced only whites, solid, and fine mottling. One ear crossed by 697 gave 180 white, 92 solid color, and 82 fine mottled kernels. Three ears from the coarse mottled stock when crossed by 697 gave 534 white, 250 solid color, 132 coarse mottling and 94 fine mottled kernels. This is not a great deviation from a 4 : 2 : 1 : 1 ratio expected if the fine mottled factor shows independent inheritance with <u>Mt</u>.

Does anyone have any convincing evidence that <u>Mt</u> is not an allele of the <u>R</u> <u>r</u> gene? Kempton's (GENETICS 4: 261-274) data can be interpreted on an allelic basis as well as assuming 12.5% C 0. He secured 29.4% mottled seeds when selfed, whereas 33 1/3% of the colored seeds should have been mottled. He incorrectly states he expected 25% whereas 33 1/3% was the correct proportion of the colored kernels. Selfed ears are rather unsatisfactory for determination of this point. We plan to test this by back-crossing if it has not been done.

3. White seedling classification. White seedlings can be classified satisfactorily soon after the seeds have germinated if they are germinated in the light. We use an old glass incubator for a germinator and keep the temperature about 75°F. Seeds are sterilized for 1 minute in a 1% solution of Hg Cl₂ and put in petri dishes, 100 to a dish. Under these conditions chlorophyll develops rapidly and classification can usually be completed within a week after planting.

4. Seedling classification for red or green base. Seedlings germinated by the above method can be classified accurately for the green base (\underline{r}^g or \underline{R}^g), or red base (\underline{r}^r or \underline{R}^r). The tip of the first true leaf has been found the most reliable place for classification. If any antho-cyanin color is present it will appear at the tips of the leaves. Seedlings so germinated and classified can still be planted without injury or setback. (This method of classification is not new. It is used by Dr. Stadler and his students at the University of Missouri. It is cited here as it may be helpful to some unfamiliar with it).

5. Seeds germinated in the germinator produce pollen and silks early. Last spring one lot of C50, a sweet corn inbred, was planted in the field on June 1. Another lot of the same stock was put into the germinator. As soon as the seedlings were well started they were put into four inch pots and kept in the greenhouse for about two weeks before transplanting to the field. The plants so treated produced pollen a week ahead of those planted in the field and there was a difference of nine days in the silking dates. This method may be utilized for securing early tassels and silks of stocks, without planting early.

6. <u>sp</u>₁ and <u>lo</u> not allelic. We now have definite proof that <u>sp</u>₁ and <u>lo</u> on chromosome 4 are not alleles of the same gene. In fact they are located on opposite sides of sug. Complete evidence will be published shortly.

W. R. Singleton

7. A hybrid between a Lancaster inbred (696-3c) and Pamunkey in 1936 produced all semi-sterile ears. Cytological examination of the hybrid in 1938 showed the presence of a heterozygous translocation involving chromosomes 1 and 2. The point of interchange in chromosome 1 is in the short arm, at approximately 6/10 of the distance from the spindle fiber attachment region to the end of the chromosome. The break was between the spindle fiber and a knob on the short arm. The point of interchange on chromosome 2, on the long arm, is approximately half way between the spindle fiber and the end of the chromosome. Chromosome 2 also has a knob. Seed of the homozygous translocation is available.

S. A subterminal knob was found on the long arm of chromosome 9 in 38-1174 (segregating sp1 and lo). This knob is similar in appearance to the chromosome 4 knob, but examination of crosses with unrelated stocks showed no evidence of a translocation involving chromosomes 9 and 4. The knob is quite close to the end of the chromosome with about 1/5 of the long arm beyond it. Seed of this is available.

F. J. Clark

University of North Carolina, Raleigh, N. C. -

1. Opaque endosperm-H. Endosperm similar to o1 and o2. Classification good in white dent stocks. Seed segregate 75% normal (O_H), 25% opaque (O_H). All O_H seeds produce normal plants while all OH seeds produce dwarfish, yellow-green striped, abnormal leaved plants which die in four weeks under field conditions. Some seedlings of $\underline{o}_{\rm H}$ lived two months in greenhouse but never got over 5 inches tall. Germination of QH seed approximately 50%. Paul H. Harvey

2. Red leaf tip (r1). Appears when plants are 8 to 12 inches high under field conditions. Red color gradually extends from tip to cover approximately one-half of blade. Classification good in F2. Segregates 75% normal (R1) to 25% red (r1). All r1 plants smaller than normal.

3. Burned leaf (bu). Tissue in leaf tips begins to die and turn brown when plants are 10-18 inches high under field conditions. Condition spreads to one-half or more of leaf. Somewhat resembles conditions caused by certain plant food deficiencies. Classification fair, though a few heterozygous plants show some evidence of burning along leaf margins. Segregates 75% normal (<u>Bu</u>) to 25% burned (<u>bu</u>). All <u>bu</u> plants smaller than normal. G. K. Middleton

Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, College Station, Texas -

1. With further reference to our hypothesis that (1) maize originated from a wild form of pod-corn, (2) that teosinte is the product of natural hybridization between maize and Tripsacum, and (3) that most North American varieties of maize are contaminated with Tripsacum, we have spent a good share of the past year in reviewing the archaeological and historical evidence which has a bearing on this problem. We have found nothing seriously in conflict with the hypothesis and a great deal of evidence in support of it.

In the last News Letter we made the suggestion that the knobs on the chromosomes of maize may have come originally from Tripsacum, in which case pure South American varieties might be found in which the chromosomes were knobless. This has proved to be the case. Of 17 lots received from Peru, all but two had knobless chromosomes. Collections from other parts of South America, however, all had knobbed chromosomes, the average numbers being as follows:

Venezuela5.50 Uruguay5.00 Brazil4.08 Paraguay3.50	Dutch Guiana3.00 Argentina2.00 Peru
--	---

If the knobs on maize chromosomes have come originally from Tripsacum, it is evident that Tripsacum-infected varieties have replaced pure maize varieties in all parts of North and South America except the Andean region, which we regard as the primary center of domestication. Bolivian varieties have not yet been studied from the standpoint of chromosome knobs, but we anticipate that the majority of them will be found to be knobless.

The objection most frequently raised to the hypothesis that maize originated from pod-corn is that pod-corn is sterile in the homozygous condition and a sterile form could scarcely have served as a progenitor. We have attributed pod-corn's sterility to the fact that it has been maintained in a heterozygous condition for so many generations it is now a monstrosity when homozygous. We have suspected, however, that a fertile, homozygous form might still be developed by selection since there is great variation in the expression of the glumes and other characteristics of pod-corn. During the past season we have found that the Ts, gene apparently is a strong modifier of fertility of TuTu plants. Homozygous tunicate plants carrying the Is5 gene are highly fertile on the pistillate side and exsert a few good anthers. Self-pollination is impossible because the silks are dried up before anthesis occurs. Sib-pollinations can be made, however, and we expect to have true-breeding stocks of pod-corn available in the near future.

P. C. Mangelsdorf and R. G. Reeves

Duke University, Durham, N. C. -

1. Lg3 (dominant liguless) is in chromosome 3 as shown by the following summary of data from six small cultures:

Genes	Phase	XY	Xy	XY	XΧ	Total		
Rg Lgz		3	138	124	0	265	(p =	.011)

A greater portion of the ligule is present in \underline{Lg}_3 plants than in either \underline{lg}_1 or \underline{lg}_2 plants. But for the characteristic "liguless" appearance of the plant as a whole the character might more appropriately be called "defective ligule". Classification (except for seedlings), viability, and fertility (except perhaps for homozygotes) are satisfactory.

A test for allelism with \underline{lg}_2 and three-point tests are being made. H. S. Perry

California	Institu	te of	Techno	logy,	Pasad	ena,	Calif.	-
l. Lis	st of tr	ansloc	ations	invol	ving	chrom	osome 3	:
T3-70	s.8 s.8 s.8	d ₁ d ₁ d ₁	+ 0.5					
Middle reg T3-9a	ion -	ts4 -	2.9	- d ₁ -	34.0	-T -	25.0 -	lg ₂
T3-7a		ts4 -	5.0	- d ₁ -	20.2	-T -	15.9 -	lg ₂
T3-8b	L .1	ts ₄ -	0	- d ₁ -	17.6	-T -	14.8 -	lg ₂
T3-90	L.l							
T3-10a	L .1+	tsų -	10.4	- d ₁ -	11.2	-T -	11.7 -	lg ₂
T2-3b		ts4 -	1.1					
T3-10b		ts4 -	0.8					
T3-100		ts ₄ -	0.7					
T3-6a				d ₁ -	18.0	-T -	12.0 -	1g ₂
T3-5a				d ₁ -	24.5	-T -	7.9 -	lg ₂

7.

T1-3a	L.2	ts4		4.2	-	d ₁ -	23.4	- T		5.9	- 1	g ²
T3-8a	L .6	ts ₄	-	2.5	-	d_1^{-}	29.5	- T	-	5.7	- 1	g2
Near righ T3-7c	t end - L .6			20.0								
T3-9b		lg ₂	-	7.9	-	Τ -	18.0	- 8	a			
T3-50		na	-	4.8	+	T -	19.1	- 8	а.			
T2-3e		na	1	7.5		Τ -	20.7	- :	a			
T3-50		na		11.7	-	Τ -	12,5	- :	a			
T2-3d		na		13.0	1	Τ -	7.1	- 1	a			
T2-6 T5-6b T6-9a T6-100 T5-6c T2-6a T4-6b T1-6c T6-9b T4-6c T2-6c T2-6c T2-6c T2-6a T2-6a T2-6a T2-6a T2-6a	Satelli Satelli Satelli Satelli Nucleol S.J S.I L.2 L.2 L.2 L.2 L.4 L.4 L.4 L.6 L.6	te te te us	1	involv Clarke Burnha Clokey McClin McClin McClin Burnha Very r Very r Very r Very r Probat Near H Near H Near H Near H Srink Pl-sm	m (otoo itoo , i	nd A 193 unpu ck (ck, (ck, (ck (193 r Y r Y r Y r Y r Y r Y r A nea and (Procided Co	nders 2 blish unpub 1934, unpub 2 r Y sm. 1 sm. 1 sm. 1	(not Prob y T- y-I	hed der hed hed hed hed hed hed hed hed hed hed) son,))))) () () () () () () () () () ()	este este Pl-s	ed) ed) sm

Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y .-

1. The Linkage Summary suggests a possible allelism of g_{l_1} and $\underline{yg_2}$. They are distinct genes, as an F_1 between them contained only green plants. In F_2 both g_{l_1} and $\underline{yg_2}$ segregated.

2. Dull endosperm, <u>du</u>, which intensifies \underline{su}^{am} and \underline{su}_1 (see Corn Letter of March 23, 1937, p. 13) has no distinctly visible effect on \underline{su}_2 . Three separate crosses of <u>du</u> x \underline{su}_2 were made and F₁s selfed. Six ears from each F₂ showed no definite effect of

8.

 \underline{du} on \underline{su}_2 . Any such effect is very slight if existent at all. Therefore, the mechanisms by which the \underline{su}_1 and \underline{su}_2 genes act must be different, at least in part.

3. Slit blade, <u>sb</u>, has shown various abnormalities. Sometimes F_2 ratios are atypical in crosses involving <u>sb</u>. Last year an \$:1 ratio of <u>sb</u> was reported. This year one plant of 90 F_2 s was a dwarf, resembling <u>mi</u><u>sh</u>. Various genes have appeared following <u>sb</u> crosses (see below); some of these, at least, seem to be new. In the progeny of an open pollinated <u>mi</u><u>sh</u><u>sb</u> plant there was one very abnormal plant. It was <u>ms</u>, striped, <u>bm</u>, with a silkless ear, possessing much enlarged glumes. Slit blade itself is variable, ranging from almost normal-appearing plants to small "deficiency-like" plants with narrow, thick leaves. Many <u>sb</u> plants are nearly or completely sterile. In the light of these divers abnormalities, it is suggested that <u>sb</u> is, or is closely accompanied by, some chromosomal abnormality.

4. Possible new genes from sb crosses:

 \underline{tw}_{sh} -- an adherent showing in both the seedling (causing it to be twisted) and the tassel. Viability good. Classification good.

<u>mi</u> -- a semi-dwarf with compact tassel, rather stiff leaves, small seeds. Viability good. Fertility good. Classification good except with lg.

 \underline{g}_{sh} -- a vigorous golden, showing golden late. May be \underline{g}_2 , for it showed about 30% recombination with <u>a</u>.

John Shafer

5. F₂ data (News Letter, March 23, 1937) indicated that \underline{pb}_{4} is located between \underline{Y}_{1} and \underline{Pl} in chromosome 6. Backcross data obtained last summer, however, suggest rather close linkage of \underline{Y}_{1} and \underline{pb}_{r} .

Ger	Bac. nes	keross Phase	data: <u>XY</u>	Xy	XY	xy	Total	% Recombin	ation
Yl	Pbx	CB	187	2	-	139	328	0.6	
	Thr	ee-poi	nt test:						
F	genot	уре	0		1		_2	1,2	Total
Y	+ P	1	170 132		- 2		47 35	1 1	388
+	pbx	+	302		0.5%		82 21.1%	0.5%	

Whether \underline{pb}_x is located to the right or to the left of Y_1 can not be decided from these data. The white and yellow patches on plants obtained from backcrosses are considerably larger than those found on piebald plants from the F_2 , and are found not only on the leaves but also on the husks. This is attributed to the effect of modifiers rather than to environment. G. A. Lebedeff

6. Sterility in tetraploid maize. An investigation of the possible causes of the variation in degree of sterility observed in different lines of tetraploid maize was made both from the cytological and genetical angles. In a study of microsporogenesis, both self-sterile and self-fertile lines showed a large number (3-10) of quadrivalents at diakinesis. This indicates that quadrivalent formation is not an important factor in causing sterility in tetraploid maize.

The chromosome number of the microspores varied from 14 to 24. Much of this variation was found to be due to the lagging of univalents and non-disjunction of chromosomes resulting in the formation of micronuclei, and to a lesser extent to the three to one separation of quadrivalents. From one to six chromosomes, usually in univalent groups, were seen to lag in sporocytes showing lagging. Gametes having 18 to 22 chromosomes are considered to be functional, since the chromosome numbers of the progeny of a tetraploid maize plant (4n = 40) has been shown to range from 37 to 42. The frequency of microspores having between 18 and 22 chromosomes agreed very well with the percentage of apparently good pollen in the fertile and sterile lines in which this was studied.

Four F₁ populations resulting from crosses between lines with a high degree of pollen abortion (25%) and lines with a low degree of pollen abortion (10%), showed a low mean percentage of aborted pollen, suggesting a possible genic basis for this.

The coefficient of correlation between degree of pollen abortion and percentage of aborted ovules, when only fertile lines were considered, was found to be -0.651 ± 0.025 , indicating that factors causing pollen abortion are also operative in causing ovular abortion.

Evidence was obtained indicating that genetic factors for incompatibility were also involved in causing sterility in tetraploid maize. Some self-compatible lines were found to be cross-incompatible with other self-compatible lines when used as the pollen parent. This relationship was true even when the effect of different pollen was compared on two ears from the same plant, one ear being self-pollinated and the other cross-pollinated. In crosses between self-compatible and self-incompatible stocks a unimodal distribution was obtained for the $\rm F_1$ and a bimodal

distribution for the F_2 population, indicating the existence of at least one dominant or epistatic gene for self-compatibility. A study of reciprocal crosses between self-compatible and self-incompatible lines showed that self-incompatible lines were cross-compatible only when used as the pollen parent. No evidence of pollen tube competition was found in a compatible cross between a self-compatible and a self-incompatible line when mixed pollinations were made to determine this.

Some evidence was obtained indicating that the chromosome number of the plant was not very important with respect to degree of fertility since a 38 chromosome plant was found to be 75% fertile (seed set) when self pollinated. This supports the conclusion that much of the sterility in tetraploid maize is due to genic rather than chromosome number difference.

If genes for self and cross-incompatibility are concerned in causing sterility in tetraploid maize, it is necessary to assume that these genes were present but inhibited in diploids, but became effective because of a genic unbalance resulting from chromosome doubling, i. e. upon doubling some genes increase in effectiveness and others remain static as far as their activity is concerned.

Harold E. Fischer

7. A sib cross between two iojap plants in a culture obtained from A. A. Bryan gave an ear which is homozygous for white seedlings. Forty seeds from this ear were planted. Thirty-eight germinated; all the seedlings were white and died within two weeks. This is interpreted as a case of extreme variation in the expression of iojap.

8. In a tester stock of 11 plants with the genetic constitution <u>pr</u> $\underline{v}_2 \triangleq \underline{b} \underline{pl} \subseteq \underline{R}$ eight plants were dilute sun red, as expected, but three showed occasional red sectors in the leaves, husks, and tassel. When the leaves were stripped down and the stalk exposed to the sunlight, red sectors appeared on it, too. Apparently <u>b</u> is unstable and mutates to <u>B</u>.

9. Linkage of $\underline{E}_{||}$ and thin kernels. In a cross of Inbred II X $\underline{E}_{||}$ WX, three F_2 ears segregated 25% thin kernels and the other four F_2 ears were normal. Seed was taken from an ear segregating thin kernels, and the normal kernels planted separately from the thin ones. Theoretically, $\underline{E}_{||}$ should have segregated 3:1 in each group. All 14 plants obtained from the kernels of normal thickness were green, while the 10 plants from the thin kernels were $\underline{E}_{||}$. This behavior suggests that the gene (or small deficiency ?) for thin kernels is closely linked with $\underline{E}_{||}$.

10. New characters in maize, teosinte, and maize-teosinte hybrids:

Maize -

adL -- adherent plant. Can be classified in early seedling stage; tips of leaves stick together. Plant becomes almost normal until anthesis, when anthers, tassel branches, and silks become sticky and tend to adhere. Viability and fertility good. Chrom. unknown.

Teosinte -

Several plants each of Nobogame, Huixta, Novocayan, and Durango teosinte were selfed and progeny tests made for genetic characters. The following characters segregated in 3:1 ratios:

zbt -- zebra seedling.

adt -- adherent leaves.

dt --- dwarf.

pgt -- pale green (two cultures).

ft -- fine stripe.

glt -- glossy.

wt -- white seedling (two cultures).

cot -- corrugated leaf (three cultures).

gst -- green stripe (three cultures).

ys+ -- yellow stripe.

lat -- lazy teosinte (reported in 1938 News Letter).

These genes will be crossed with similar maize genes to test for possible allelism.

Maize-Teosinte hybrids -

sd -- response to short day. Recessive to "weak" response to length of day in maize. Mendelian character.

- pd -- single female spikelets. Recessive to paired female spikelets of maize. Segregates in 3:1 ratio. (Collins and Kempton, 1923).
- tr -- two-ranked ear and two-ranked central branch of the tassel. Recessive to the many-ranked ear and manyranked central branch of the maize tassel. Mendelian character.

pd is linked with tr with 20% recombination. Chromosome unknown.

ll. Brittle stalk-X (\underline{bk}_{x}) reported by R. G. Wiggans in the News Letter, March 6, 1938, p. 12, is an allele of \underline{bk}_{2} .

Fine stripe-X (f_x) from the same report is an allele of

D. G. Langham

ij.

II. Seed Stocks Grown, 1938 1. Testers. Chromosome 1: (P br f1 bm2 x P zb4) x zb4 br f1 zbu Chromosome 2: +/d5 $(lg_1 gs_2 b v_4 x Inbred I)$ self $(ws_3 lg_1 x gl_2) x (ws_3 lg_1 x gl_2)$ lg1 gl2 v4 x fl 1g1 ts1 +/g12 +/v4 x 1g1 g12 +/ts1 +/v4 $lg_1 + /sk_1 \times lg_1 sk_1$ +/ba2 x ba2 Chromosome 3: $(pm x lg_2 d_1) x (pm x lg_2 d_1)$ +/d1 x d1 +/ba, x ba, d2 a1 lg2 ra2 1g2 d1 +/ts4 (ts4? Rg x d1) x lg3/? Chromosome 4: sp1 su1 sul g13 +/w1 Chromosome 5: bml br v2 bm] bv pr bm, bt Chromosome 6: V7 Pl sm +/py x Pl sm py Y₁ Pl sm A b Inbred II x pbx Inbred I x pb_x

13.

```
Chromosome 7:
                                               02
      Hs
      V5
Chromosome 8:
      msg j<sub>1</sub> v<sub>16</sub> x (msg j<sub>1</sub> x v<sub>16</sub>)
Chromosome 9:
                                               +/17
      +/vp4
                                               sh wx +/w11
      ms<sub>2</sub> x ms<sub>2</sub>/+
                                                Inbred I x ar wx
      ms<sub>20</sub> x Ms<sub>20</sub>
                                                Inbred II x c sh bp wx
       sh +/d_3
Chromosome 10:
                                               +/W2
       +/vp1
       rst
                                                V18
                                                Og g<sub>1</sub> li
       Rmb
       R<sup>nj</sup> A<sub>1</sub> C Pr
                                                Og az
       R<sup>rg</sup> A<sub>1</sub> C pr P<sup>vv</sup>
                                                a3 81
                                                Inbred I x zb5 N11/? G1/?
       rr y sul
       2. Miscellaneous:
                                                07
 fx Pux
                                                'n
 dec
                                                f12
 ¥20
                                                at x at/+
 al C R<sup>g</sup> pr in wx y
                                                +/bk1
 a, CRYpr in
                                                bk2
 ms<sub>11</sub>/+
                                                 al B Pl C R Pr Y1
 +/ws3
                                                Al Crg1 M
 V9
                                                 A, B pl C R<sup>g</sup> Pr Sc<sub>x</sub> y<sub>1</sub> lg<sub>1</sub>
 A c R<sup>g</sup> su<sub>1</sub> +/vg x vg
                                                 ms7 x Inbred II
 +/13
```

```
ms<sub>12</sub> x Inbred II
Ts6 Og
                                     ms42 x Inbred II
Inbred I x bmz
                                      Hy x mg
Lo/?
                                      Inbred II x ygz
hf x +/hf
Ts_6/+ x al
                                      In In
                                      db
+/ twz
+/bax (Singleton)
                                      fs
                                      mg
+/rax (Singleton)
zb f x ys
     3. No germination:
                                      ms<sub>27</sub> x ms<sub>27</sub>/+
Inbred II x S<sub>x</sub>
                                      sul g12 M1/?
10 su1
                                      sh pk1 seg. fl1
WSX
                                      glg
bto
                                      ys<sub>x</sub>(Singleton)
a<sup>P</sup> B Pl P
                                      A, Rg c sh wx pr y sul
Ms3/? sh g1
msy x msy/+
    4. Too late:
                                       gu sh ar Bn
 gigas
```

III. Seed Stocks Received for Propagation in 1939

 P. C. Mangelsdorf, College Station, Texas:du₂ du₂ seg. da₁ su^{am} Du₂du₂ seg. du₁ su^{am}
 P. H. Harvey Raleigh, N. C.: ^OH ^OH
 J. Shafer, Ithaca, N. Y.:wx v₁ gl₄ sh wx v₁ gl₄ yg₂ sh wx seg. gl₄ lg₁

IV. Some Recent Papers on the Cytogenetics of Maize

During the past year several maize geneticists have written to the Co-op for a list of recent publications in maize. In view of this demand, what do you think of the idea of making such a list a part of the annual Maize Genetics Cooperation News Letter? Most of the maize literature to 1935 is included in the combined bibliographies of "Genetics of Zea Mays" by W. H. Eyster, and "A Summary of Linkage Studies in Maize" by Emerson, Beadle, and Fraser. If these bibliographies were brought up to date, a list of all the papers published between February, 1939, and February, 1940, could be included in the 1940 News Letter.

If your reaction to this suggestion is favorable, will you help bring the following list of papers up to date (I have more than likely missed some)?

- Anderson, E. G. Translocation in maize involving chromosome 9. Genetics 23: 307-313. 1938.
- Bindloss, E. A. Nuclear size in plumular meristems of inbred and hybrid maize. Amer. Journ. Bot. 25: 738-743. 1938.
- Brieger, F. G. Genetic control of gametophyte development in maize. I. A gametophyte character in chromosome five. Jour. Genetics <u>34</u>: 57-80. 1937.

, Tidbury, G. E. and Tseng, H. P. - Genetic control of gametophyte development in maize. II. The quarter test. Jour. Genetics <u>36</u>: 17-38. 1938.

- Brink, R. A. Linkage relations in the A-Rg group in maize. Amer. Nat. 69: 283-285. 1935.
- Burnham, C. R. Differential fertilization in the Br-Pr linkage group of maize. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. <u>28</u>: 968-975. 1936.
- Catcheside, D. G. The bearing of the frequencies of X-ray induced interchanges in maize upon the mechanism of their induction. Jour. Genetics 36: 321-328. 1938.
- Clark, Frances J. A gene for abnormal meiotic spindle formation in maize. Genetics <u>24</u>: No. 1, p. 68. 1939. (Abstract).
- Clarke, A. E. and Anderson, E. G. A chromosomal interchange in maize without ring formation. Amer. Jour. Bot. <u>22</u>: 711-716. 1935.

Dobzhansky, T. H. and Rhoades, M. M. - A possible method for locating favorable genes in maize. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 30: 668-675. 1938.

- Emerson, R. A., Beadle, G. W. and Fraser, A. C. A summary of linkage studies in maize. Cornell Agr. Exp. Sta. Memoir 180, 83 p. 1935.
- Haber, E. S. A study of drouth resistance in inbred strains of sweet corn Zea mays var. rugosa. Iowa A. E. S. Res. Bul. 243: 55-72. 1938.
- Harvey, Paul H. Hereditary variations in plant nutrition. Genetics <u>24</u>: No. 1, p. 74. 1939. (Abstract).
- Jenkins, M. T. Linkage relations of the A_{2-a₂} factor pair in maize. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. <u>26</u>: 719-720. 1934.
- Johnson, I. J. and Hayes, H. K. The inheritance of pericarp tenderness in sweet corn. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 30 (3): 220-231. 1938.
- Jones, D. F. Mutation rate in somatic cells of maize. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. <u>22</u>: 645-648. 1936.

- Somatic segregation and its relation to atypical growth. Genetics 22: 484-522, 1937.

- Translocations in relation to mosaic formation in maize. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., <u>24</u> (5): 208-211. 1938.

- Growth changes associated with chromosome breakage and reattachment. Genetics <u>24</u>, No. 1, p. 77. 1939. (Abstract).

- Variable effect of the C locus in maize following translocation. Genetics <u>24</u>, No. 1, p. 100. 1939. (Abstract).

Kempton, J. H. - Maize as a measure of Indian skill. Univ. New Mexico Bul. 296: 19-28. 1936. (In symposium of prehistoric agriculture.)

- Maize, our heritage from the Indian. Ann. Rep. Smithsonian Inst. 1936-37: 385-408. 1938.

- Langham, D. G. The inheritance of intergeneric differences in Zea-Euchlaena hybrids. Genetics 24, No. 1, p. 78. 1939. (Abstract).
- Lincoln, R. E. and Lindstrom, E. W. Micro-evolution of hostparasite interactions in bacterial wilt of maize. Genetics 24, No. 1. 1939. (Abstract).

- McClintock, B. The production of homozygous deficient tissues, with mutant characteristics by means of the aberrant mitosis behavior of ring-shaped chromosomes. Genetics 23: 315-376. 1938.
 - The fusion of broken ends of sister halfchromatids following chromatid breakage at meiotic anaphases. Missouri Agr. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 290, 48 p. 1938.
- Maize Genetics Cooperation Recent linkage studies in maize. Genetics 24: 59-63. 1939.
- Mangelsdorf, P. C. Modification of Mendelian ratios in maize by mechanical separation of gametes. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 17 (12): 698-700. 1931.
- and Reeves, R. G. The origin of maize. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 24: 303-312. 1938.
- Mather, Kenneth Chiasma frequencies in trisomic maize. Genetics, vol. 24, No. 1, p. 104. 1939. (Abstract).
- Maxwell, L. R. The mechanism of delayed killing of maize seeds with X-radiation. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 24: 377-384. 1938.
- Nemec, B. Gold in Zea mays. Ber. Deut. Bot. Ges. <u>53</u>: 560-562. 1935.
- O'Mara, Joseph G. Cytological observations on Zea-Euchlaena hybrids. Genetics 24: No. 1, p. 82. 1939. (Abstract).
- Perry, H. S. and Sprague, G. F. A second-chromosome gene, Y₃, producing yellow endosperm color in maize. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. <u>28</u>: 990-996. 1936.
- Randolph, L. F. Developmental morphology of the caryopsis in maize. Jour. Agr. Res. 53: 881-916. 1936.

- The occurrence of parthenogenetic diploids in tetraploid maize. Froc. Nat. Acad. Sci., Vol. 25, No. 3, 1939.

and Hand, David B. - Increase in vitamin A activity of corn caused by doubling the number of chromosomes. Science, <u>87</u>, No. 2263: 442-443, 1938.

- Cytogenetics of tetraploid maize. Jour. Agr. Res. 50, No. 7: 591-605, 1935.

- Randolph, L. F. A new fixing fluid and a revised schedule for the paraffin method in plant cytology. Stain Technology. 10 (3), p. 95, 1935.
- Reeves, R. G. and Mangelsdorf, P. C. Chromosome numbers in relatives of Zea mays L. Amer. Nat. <u>69</u>: 633-635. 1935.
- Rhoades, Marcus M. and McClintock, B. The cytogenetics of maize. Bot. Rev. 1: 292-325. 1935.
- Rhoades, Marcus M. A cytogenetic study of a chromosome fragment in maize. Genetics 21: 491-502. 1936.
 - The effect of varying gene dosage on aleurone colour in maize. Jour. Genetics 33: 347-354. 1936.
 - Note on the origin of triploidy in maize. Jour. Genetics 33: 355-357. 1936.
 - Effect of the Dt gene on the mutability of the al allele in maize. Genetics 23: 377-397. 1938.
 - and Rhoades, Virginia H. Cenetic studies with factors in the tenth chromosome in maize. Genetics 24: No. 2: 302-314. 1939.
- Singleton, W. R. Early researches in maize genetics. Jour. Hered. <u>26</u>: 49-59. 1935.
 - Early researches in maize genetics (concl.). Jour. Hered. <u>26</u>: 121-126. 1935.
 - Effect of colored cellophane on the production of sun-red color in maize. Science <u>84</u>: 488-489. 1936.
 - Cytological observations on deficiencies produced by treating maize pollen with ultraviolet light. Genetics 24, No. 1, p. 109. 1939. (Abstract).
- Sprague, G. F. Random sampling and the distribution of phenotypes on ears of back crossed maize. Jour. Agr. Res. <u>51</u>: 751-758. 1935.
- Tavcar, A. Beitrag zur Vererbungsart der Spindel-farbung an vierkorn-reihigen Maiskolben. Zeitschr. Indukt. Abstam. u. Vererbungsl. <u>71</u>: 341-352. 1936.
- Thomas, H. L. The glossy character (gl3) in maize and its linkage relations. Jour. Agr. Res. <u>44</u> (2): 167-173. 1932.
- Van Overbeck, J. Auxin production in seedlings of dwarf maize. Plant Physiology 13: 587-598. 1938.

- Van Overbeck, J. "Laziness" in maize due to abnormal distribution of growth hormone. Jour. Hered. 29: 339-341. 1938.
- Weiss, M. G. and Wentz, J. B. Effect of luteus genes on longevity of seed in maize. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 29: 63-75. 1937.
- Wellhausen, E. J. Genetic investigations of bacterial wilt resistance in corn as caused by Bacterium Stewartii (Smith) Migula. Iowa State College, Jour. of Sci., Vol. IX, No. 3. 1935.

V. Maize Genetics Cooperation Mailing List

Anderson, Dr. Edgar, Washington University, St. Louis, Mo. Anderson, Dr. E. G., Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif. Beadle, Dr. G. W., Biology Dept., Stanford Univ., Stanford Univ., Calif. Bennett, Dr. L. S., Agronomy Dept., Agric. Exp. Sta., Fayetteville, Ark. Brieger, Dr. Friedrich, Escola Luiz de Queiroz, Piracicaba, Sao Paulo, Brazil. Brink, Dr. R. A., Genetics Dept., Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisc. Brunson, Dr. A. M., Agronomy Dept., Purdue Univ., LaFayette, Ind. Bryan, Dr. W. W., Queensland Agric. College, Lawes, Q., Australia. Burnham, Dr. C. R., Agronomy Division, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn. Cartledge, Dr. J. L., Agronomy Dept., Univ. of West Virginia, Morgantown, W. Va. Clokey, Mr. Ira M., 1635 Laurel St., South Pasadena, Calif. Cooper, Dr. D. C., University of Wisconsin, Genetics Dept., Madison, Wisc. Creighton, Dr. Harriet B., Conn. College for Women, New London, Conn. Dawson, Mr. C. D. R., John Innes Hort. Inst., Mostyn Road, Merton Park, London, S.W.19, England. Demereo, Dr. M., Carnegie Inst., Cold Spring Harbor, Long Island, N.Y. Dorsey, Dr. E., Plant Breeding Dept., Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y. Doxtator, Mr. C. W., Div. of Agronomy, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn. Eckhardt, R. C., Farm Crops Dept., Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. Emerson, Dr. R. A., Plant Breeding Dept., Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y. Eyster, Dr. W. H., Botany Dept., Bucknell University, Lewisburg, Pa. Fraser, Dr. A. C., Plant Breeding Dept., Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y.

Garber, Dr. R. J., U.S.D.A. Regional Pasture Research Lab., State College, Penn. Gurney, Dr. H. C., Waite Research Inst., Adelaide Univ., Adelaide, Aust. Hadjinov, Dr. M. I., Inst. of Plant Industry, Detskoe Selo (near Leningrad), U.S.S.R. Harvey, Dr. Paul H., Agronomy Dept., University of North Carolina, Raleigh, N. C. Hayes, Dr. H. K., Agronomy Division, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn. Hofmeyr, Dr. J.D.J., P.O. Marabastad, Pietersburg, South Africa. Holbert, Dr. J.R., Federal Building, Bloomington, Illinois. Horovitz, Mr. S., Instituto de Santa Catalina, Llanallol, F.C.O., Argentina. Hull, Dr. Fred, Agronomy Dept., Agric. Exp. Sta., Gainesville, Florida. Jenkins, Dr. M. T., Bureau of Plant Industry, U.S.D.A., Washington, D. C. Johnson, Dr. I. J., Agronomy Division, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn. Jones, Dr. D. F., Genetics Dept., Agr. Exp. St., New Haven, Conn. Kempton, Dr. J. H., Bureau of Plant Industry, U.S.D.A., Washington, D.C. Kopf, Mr. Kenneth, F. H. Woodruff & Sons, Milford, Conn. Krug, Mr. Carlos A., Inst. Agronomica do Estado Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil. Kvakan, Dr. Paul, Dobricevo Cuprija, Jugoslavia. Langham, Dr. D. G., Plant Breeding Dept., Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N. Y. Lebedeff, Dr. G. A., Plant Breeding Dept., Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N. Y. Li, Dr. H. W., Wu-Han University, Wuchang, Hupeh, China. Lindstrom, Dr. E. W., Genetics Dept., Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. Longley, Dr. A. E., Bureau of Plant Industry, U.S.D.A., Washington, D. C. McClintock, Dr. Barbara, Botany Dept., Univ. of Missouri, Columbia, Mo. Mains, Dr. E. B., Botany Dept., University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich. Mangelsdorf, Dr. P. C., Agronomy Dept., Agric. Exp. Sta., College Station, Texas. Miles, Dr. L. G., Dept. of Agric. & Stock, Brisbane, Queensland, Aust. Mumm, Mr. W. J., Agronomy Dept., University of Illinois, Urbana, I11. Neal, Dr. Norman P., Genetics Dept., Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisc.

21.

Perry, Dr. H. S., Botany Dept., Duke University, Durham, N. Caro.
Peto, Dr. F. H., National Research Council, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
Phipps, Dr. I. F., Waite Research Inst., Adelaide Univ., Adelaide, Aust.
Randolph, Dr. L. F., Botany Dept., Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y.
Reeves, Dr. R. G., Biology Dept., Agric. Exp. Sta., College Station, Texas.
Rhoades, Dr. M. M., Arlington Exp'l. Farms, Arlington, Virginia.
Richey, Mr. F. D., P.O. Box 23, Ashville, Ohio.
St. John, Mr. R. R., Botany Dept., Purdue University, LaFayette, Indiana.
Sando, Dr. Chas. E., Bureau of Chemistry and Soils, U.S.D.A., Washington, D.C.
Sansome, Dr. F. W., Botany Dept., Univ. of Manchester, Manchester 13, England.

Shafer, Dr. John I., Botany Dept., Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N.Y. Singh, Dr. S., Botanical Section, Imperial Inst. of Agric. Research, Pusa, Behar, India.
Singleton, Dr. W. R., Genetics Dept., Agric. Exp. Sta., New Haven, Conn.
Sokoloff, Prof. Dmitri, Escuela de Ciencias Biologicas, Instituto Politicnico Nacional, Mexico City, Mexico.
Sprague, Dr. G. F., Field Crops Dept., Univ. of Missouri, Columbia, Mo.
Stadler, Dr. L. J., Field Crops Dept., Univ. of Missouri, Columbia, Mo.
Stringfield, Mr. G. H., Agronomy Dept., Agric. Exp. Sta., Wooster, Ohio.

Tavcar, Dr. A., Dept. of Plant-Breeding, Univ. of Zagreb, Zagreb, Jugoslavia.
Thomas, Dr. H. C., Genetics Dept., University Farm, St. Paul, Minn.
van Overbeck, Dr. J., Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif.
Viegas, Glauco P., Agronomica do Estado Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil.
Weatherwax, Dr. Paul, University of Indiana, Bloomington, Indiana.
Wellhausen, Dr. E. J., Genetics Department, University of West Virginia, Morgantown, W. Va.

Wernham, Mrs. C. C., 229 West Beaver Ave., State College, Penn. Wiggans, Dr. R. G., Plant Breeding Dept., Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y. Woodworth, Prof. C. M., Agronomy Dept., Univ. of Illinois, Urbana, Ill.

Yasui, Prof. K., Plant-Morphology Division, Tokyo Imperial University, Tokyo, Japan.

Andres, Dr. Jose M., Director Del Instituto De Genetica, Facultad De Agronomia Y Veterinaria, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Hill, Mrs. H. H., Arlington Experiment Station, Arlington, Va.