Parental	Reg. 1	Reg. 2	Doub.	T
56 59 118	13 13 26	7 9 16	0 1	161
	16.8 <u>+</u> 2.9	<u>G1</u> 3 - <u>C</u> 2	= 10.6 <u>+</u>	2.4 c = 0.35

Two-point data from the cross of $\pm \pm \frac{1}{g_1}$ $\pm \frac{1}{g_2}$ $\pm \frac{1}{g_2}$ $\pm \frac{1}{g_2}$ give a better estimate of the map distance:

2. Selective enrichment experiments with pollen.

1

Tests parallel to those outlined last year (News Letter 41: 139) show some encouraging results. Tests with pollen from a multiple heterozygote for \underline{bz}_2 , \underline{a}_1 , \underline{c}_2 , \underline{a}_2 \underline{pr} , \underline{c}_1 \underline{bz}_1 , and \underline{r} (8 markers, 5 chromosomes) have been analyzed. Tests with other markers are in progress.

Pollen from the multiple heterozygote (1 ml) was mixed with 4 ml of aqueous medium (modified according to work of Y. H. Chang; 0.35M sucrose plus 1,200 ppm Ca Cl₂) and applied with a # 8 or # 9 brush to the silks. Each mixture was used on all 8 recessive testers, one ear each. The medium contained one of two concentration levels of one of 28 different agents. The list below gives the class, identity, and concentration of each agent tested. Ratios are given only for tests in which one or more of the ratios for that marked chromosome were significantly deviant (**1%, *5%, + or - 10%). Three hundred and eight of the 450-odd pollinations yielded sufficient seed for statistical test of the ratio; the 10 highly-significant ratios should include several true enriched samples.

The flavonoid relatives appear to be the most promising agents, as might be expected since the markers are flavonoid factors.

Agent	Ppm	Ratio	Locus	Dev.	Chrom.
Acridine orange	1000 1000 500	0:1 10:2 11:8	<u> </u>	+*	9 9 9
Carbohydrate metabolism					
2, 4-dinitrophenol	100 50				
Oligomycin	50 25				
turanose	5000 2500				

.27	Ppm	Ratio	,	Locus		Dev.	Ch	rom.
Agent								
Flavonoid relatives		0 -	_	c		_**		9
p-methoxy cinnamic acid	5000 2500 100	58:96 21:2 14:8	7 4	51 51 81		_**		9 3 3
<u>p</u> -nitro cinnamic acid	50 100 50 100 100 50 100	37:3 6:1 10:5 4:1 2:4 12: 23:	53 7 1 5 9 11	alaial ploib		_** +		93355599991
esculin	50 50 1000 500	51: 2 40	.70 :2 :25	<u>}</u>	r r bz	+		10 10 1
hesperidin	1000 500 1000 500 1000 500 1000	82 48 1 31 1 4	:5 :119 ::1 5:30 1:0 3:21 3:7 6:65			+	** *	1 4 9 9 9 9
naringin	100 50 1000	5	5:0 60:49 4:5		r a ₂		+**	10 5 5
phloridzin quercitrin	500 1000 500 50 50 25 50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7:28 6:1 34:17 9:4 1:1 1:0 1:3 0:6		approblem of of of alph		+ -*	555599999955
rutin	10	50 00 00	18:6	>	a ₂ pr		+	5
Hormones	21	500						
gibberellic acid	1.	250	20:	14	<u>r</u>		_	10
indole-3-acetic acid		500 250	89	:114	r	•	-	
Lysine relatives arginine	1	0000 0000 5000	2	7:0 2:0 0:29	<u>:</u> :	a ₂ a ₂ a ₂	+* -*	

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH

Agent	Ppm	Ratio	Locus	Dev.	Chromo.
Lysine relatives (cont'd)				
Lysine relatives (cont days arginine	10000 10000 10000 5000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000	2:7 0:1 1:1 2:1 17:10 8:11 9:1 7:0 1:1 6:5 0:1 3:3 15:15	pr pr pr pr pr pr pr pr pr pr pr pr pr p	- +*	5599999555555
Methionine relatives					1
ethionine	1000 500 1000	11:4 27:6 9:2 15:11	bz ₂ bz ₂ a ₁	+** +	1 3 3 4 4
methionine	500 1000 500 10000 5000 10000 5000	5:14 33:22 3:4 146:221 1:0 9:0	bz ₂ a1 a1 c2 bz ₂ bz ₂ bz ₂ a1 a1 c2 a1 a1	-** +**	1 1 3 3
Tryptophan-niacin relatives	<u>a</u> -				
3-acetyl pyridine	1000 500				
anthranilic acid	500 250				
5-fluorotryptophan	500 250				
5-hydroxy tryptophan	2500 1250				
indole	1000 500	1 ~	9		7
kynurenine	500 250 500 250 500 250 500 250	20:18 83:12	a1 a2 a2 pr	+**	

129		Ratio	Locus	Dev.	Chromo.
Agent	Ppm	Ratio			
Tryptophan-niacin relatives (cont'd)					
nicotinamide	500 2 5 0	0:5	c _o	-	լ Լ
alpha-picolinic acid	500 250	0:1	<u>c</u> 2 <u>c</u> 2		
pyridine-3-sulfonic acid	10000 5000 10000 5000	8:11 33:30 17:7 32:38 29:22		+	9 9 9 9 9
tryptophan	5000 10000 5000	24:25 19:8		+	9

Deviations in opposite directions for the same chromosome do not necessarily negate each other, nor do insignificant deviations necessarily negate significant ones. The anthocyanin markers themselves may be responsible for physiological differences that are subject to selection, but they may also be linked with unknown factors that were heterozygous in either parent of the hybrid plants that were used as males. Of course possible contaminations and other errors require that the above tests be repeated extensively. E. H. Coe, Jr.

Recombination frequency and coincidence in maize.

The aim of the present study was to examine the effects of translocations on recombination, using coincidence and recombination percentage together as a measure of these phenomena. The backcross data studied, which were compiled by D. R. Knott (1963, Maize News Letter 37:164-172), represent largely the work reported by R. A. Emerson in 1940 and 1941.

Statistical analysis was done on backcross material which was either structurally normal or translocation-bearing in the F, generation. The translocations all involved chromosome l and differed from one another with respect to their individual breakpoints; not all were located precisely. For convenience the long arm of chromosome 1 was divided into four regions: $\frac{br-f}{h}$, designated as region 1; $\frac{f-an}{h}$, region 2; $\frac{an}{h}$ region 3; and gs_-bm2, region 4. Regions covering more than one of these were designated by 1-2, 1-3, etc. For all samples containing one or more of the intervals 1, 2, 3, 1-2, 1-4, and 3-4, average recombination values were calculated from the raw data by dividing the total number of recombinations for the region, regardless of structural constitution, by the grand total of individuals for the region. These values, which may be symbolized as \overline{p}_t , were used as the means to which individual recombination frequencies were compared to indicate those values which were higher than the average and those which were lower. In addition,