order, but none appeared in this test. The position of \underline{Rp}_{3} relative to \underline{Rg} is, therefore, still uncertain, though it is clear the two loci are closely linked. Errors arising from contamination could be eliminated by making the above testcross in the reverse direction. However, initial attempts to use the F_{1} as female parent failed because the expression of the ragged plants was so extreme that no ears were produced. Later attempts have produced a limited amount of seed. As an alternative procedure, a contamination marker is being introduced into the F_{1} . Neither of the latter types of testcrosses has yet been grown for scoring.

E. B. Patterson

A. L. Hooker

K. M. S. Saxena

D. E. Yates

Mapping studies of Rp₁.

In the 1964 MNL (p. 66), Hooker and Russell reported that in plants heterozygous for T 1-4a (4S.69; lL.51), $Rp_1 - su_1$ recombination was 22.5% (65/289); in plants heterozygous for T 4-8a (4S.59; 8L.19), $Rp_1 - su_1$ recombination was 16.8% (49/292).

A preliminary three-point test involving $\underline{Rp_{l_1}}$, \underline{su}_{l_2} , and \underline{gl}_{l_3} suggested that \underline{Rp}_{l_4} is located distally in the short arm of Chromosome $\frac{1}{4}$:

Three-point tests involving Rp_{l_1} , Ga_1 , and su_1 are as follows:

(a)
$$\frac{+ \text{ Ga}_1 \quad \text{su}_1}{+ \quad \text{su}_1} \quad \text{X} \quad \frac{\text{Rp}_4 \quad + \quad +}{+ \quad \text{Ga}_1 \quad \text{su}_1} \quad \text{Ear ratio:} \quad 49 \quad \underline{\text{Su}} : \quad 81 \quad \underline{\text{su}}$$

$$+ \quad \frac{\text{Ga}_1 \quad \text{su}_1}{+ \quad \text{Ga}_1 \quad \text{su}_1} \quad \frac{\text{Ga}_1 \quad - \quad \text{su}_1}{+ \quad \text{ga}_1 \quad - \quad \text{su}_1} = 49/130 = 37.7\%$$

$$+ \quad \text{Ga} \quad \text{su} \quad 66 \quad \text{Recombination:}$$

$$1 \quad \text{Rp} \quad \text{Ga} \quad \text{su} \quad 5 \quad \underline{\text{Rp}_4} \quad - \quad \underline{\text{Ga}_1} = 11/118 = 9.3\%$$

$$2 \quad + \quad \text{Ga} \quad \text{Su} \quad 41 \quad \underline{\text{Ga}_1} \quad - \quad \underline{\text{su}_1} = 47/118 = 39.8\%$$

$$1,2 \quad \text{Rp} \quad \text{Ga} \quad \text{Su} \quad 6 \quad \underline{\text{Rp}_4} \quad - \quad \underline{\text{su}_1} = 46/118 = 39.0\%$$

$$118 \quad \underline{\text{Rp}_4} \quad - \quad \underline{\text{su}_1} = 46/118 = 39.0\%$$

(b)
$$\frac{+}{+} + \frac{+}{+} \times \frac{Rp_4 + +}{+} + \frac{Ear\ ratio:\ Observed}{+} = \frac{Estimated}{121 - 58 = 63}$$

 $\frac{Su}{121 - 58 = 63} = \frac{116}{121 - 121} =$

Estimated $Ga_1 - su_1$ recombination = 63/179 = 35.2%*

				Observed			Estimated	
P	+	Ga	su	41	+ 4	1 =	82	Recombination:
1	Rp	Ga	su	4	+	4 =	8	$\frac{\text{Rp}_{1}}{1} - \frac{\text{Ga}}{1} = 12/160 = 7.5\%$
2	+	Ga	Su	107	- 4	1 =	66	$\frac{Ga_1}{a_1} - \frac{su_1}{a_1} = 70/160 = 43.8\%*$
1,2	2 Rp	Ga	Su	8	_ (4 =	4	$\frac{Rp_4 - su_7}{100} = 74/160 = 46.3\%$
				160			160	, <u>+</u>

Combined data from (a) and (b), above:

$$\frac{\text{Rp}_{4} - \text{Ga}_{1}}{\text{Car ratio}} = \frac{23}{278} = 8.3\%$$
(Ear ratio)
$$\frac{\text{Ga}_{1}}{\text{Ga}_{1}} - \frac{\text{Su}_{1}}{\text{Su}_{1}} = \frac{112}{309} = 36.2\%*$$
(Plants)
$$\frac{\text{Ga}_{1}}{\text{Ga}_{1}} - \frac{\text{Su}_{1}}{\text{Su}_{1}} = \frac{117}{278} = 42.1\%*$$

$$\frac{\text{Rp}_{4}}{\text{Rp}_{4}} - \frac{\text{Su}_{1}}{\text{Su}_{1}} = \frac{120}{278} = 43.2\%*$$

*These values are based on estimated gametic frequencies of alleles at the \underline{su}_1 locus.

All calculations above have assumed 100 per cent functioning of \underline{Ga}_1 -carrying pollen. If there was some functioning of \underline{ga}_1 pollen in production of these progenies, estimates of both \underline{Rp}_1 - \underline{Ga}_1 and \underline{Ga}_1 - \underline{su}_1 recombination are too high; the \underline{Rp}_1 - \underline{su}_1 recombination values, however, would not be altered. The sequence of \underline{Rp}_1 with respect to \underline{Ga}_1 is not clearly established by these data, but it appears more probable that \underline{Rp}_1 is distal to \underline{Ga}_1 . \underline{Rp}_1 is probably not more than about 10 units from \underline{Ga}_1 and may be considerably closer. Accurate mapping of \underline{Rp}_1 would require testing of progeny for constitution at the \underline{Ga}_1 locus, and preferably should employ a closer proximal marker (e.g., \underline{fl}_2) in place of \underline{su}_1 . The \underline{de}_1 locus, at the left end of the map, would also provide useful marking, but apparently stocks of this trait have been lost.

E. B. Patterson

A. L. Hooker

K. M. S. Saxena

D. E. Yates