Cross	Fertile plants	Sterile plants
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
Male sterile x K 55	22	0
Male sterile x T x 1270	ogus pako kii masanki Nama <b>92*</b> Jii oo oo gada	. 0
Male sterile x 41	Section of 144 or the section of	<b>.</b> O,
(Male sterile x 41) F2	and the contrast to the contract (1994)	16
Male sterile x (41 x non-	restorers) in a variable 58 and a line of the contract of the	47
on from the first of the contract of the contr	นุทธพระสาราธาสารา ใ <b>ช่จ</b> ัดการครัฐ เพื่อ เกาะ	

<sup>\*</sup> Six of these plants in an early planting appeared to be only partially fertile.

W. I. Thomas

The second secon

w. 1. Thomas IOWA STATE COLLEGE Ames, Iowa Department of Agronomy and UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN Madison, Wisconsin

## Studies on the inheritance of resistance to corn leaf rust

. The same of the same was former

## Puccinia sorghi Schw.

It was reported in the 1955 Corn Genetic Newsletter that greenhouse seedling inoculations on 165 lines of corn showing field resistance revealed that 25 strains possessed protoplasmic resistance to one or more biotypes of the pathogen. A table included in the report indicated that resistance in each of B38, K148 Cuzco and GG208 was due to a dominant allele at a single locus. The following table shows the reaction of these strains to 11 cultures of Puccinia sorghi.

## Reactions to 11 cultures of <u>Puccinia sorghi</u>

Source of	graphic species										
resistance	901@	904	908	917	921	922	926	927	928	929	930
B38 K148 GG208	0; 1- 1-	0; 1-	0; 3	0; 1-	0; 1- 0:	0; 1-	0; 1-	3 3	0; 1=:	0; 1± 7-	0; 1-
Cuzco	0;	Ō;	ō;	ō;	Ŏ;	ō;	ō;	Ō;	0;	0;	0;

This table shows that these strains have different genotypes for resistance. It is necessary to know how many genes for resistance each source has and the number of loci involved among the four strains. Some rile nts

ially

ouse reore that ıt

0 ;

r ach Some

;

preliminary information on these points has been obtained by studying the reactions of backcrosses and F2 progenies of various single crosses to relatively pure rust cultures and to mixtures of cultures. The following mixtures were used:

- A 901a, 904, 917, 921, 922, 926, 928, 929 and 930.
- B 901a, 904, 908, 917, 921, 922, 926, 928, 929 and 930. C 901a, 904, 908, 917, 922, 926, 927, 928 and 929. D 901a, 904, 908, 917, 922, 926, 928 and 929.

- E 901a, 904, 917, 922, 926, 928, 929 and 930. F 901a, 904, 908, 917, 921, 922, 926, 927, 928, 929 and 930.

Several of the progenies studied had as one parent inbred line B14 or ML4 both of which give a susceptible reaction to all 11 rust cultures listed above. The following table shows the results of the greenhouse seedling inoculations.

		pla	ber of ents erved	Hypothetical	<sub>X</sub> 2	1. ja 1. jan 1. jan
Cross	Pathogen	Res.	Susc.	Expectations		<u>Value</u>
(M14 x K148)F <sub>2</sub> -1 (M14 x K148) x M14-1 " -2	Mixture A	76 46 51	21 50 42	3:1 1:1 1:1	0.581 0.167 0.872	
(B14 x K148)F <sub>2</sub> -1	n n n	49 69 74	48 29 21	1:1 3:1 3:1	1.102	0.95-0.90 0.30-0.20 0.70-0.50
(M4 x GG208)F <sub>2</sub> -1 (M4 x GG208) x M4-1 " -2 (B14 x GG208)F <sub>2</sub> -1	Mixture C n n	77 49 37 69	22 49 54 29	3:1 1:1 1:1 3:1	0.407 0 3.176 1.102	0.70-0.50 1.00 0.10-0.05 0.30-0.20
(M14 x GG208)F <sub>2</sub> -1 (M14 x GG208) x M14-3 (B14 x GG208)F <sub>2-2</sub>	Mixture D	77 49 72	18 46 26	3:1 1:1 3:1	1.856 0.095 0.122	0.20-0.10 0.80-0.70 0.80-0.70
(Bl4 x Cuzco)F <sub>2</sub> -1	Mixture F	69	23	3:1	0	1.00
(GG208 x Cuzco)F <sub>2</sub> -1 " -2 (K148 x GG208)F <sub>2</sub> -1 " -2 (B38 x K148)F <sub>2</sub> -1 (K148 x B38)F <sub>2</sub> -1 (GG208 x B38)F <sub>2</sub> -1	901a 901a 901a 901a 901a 901a	89 83 101 98 90 92 96	1 0 0 0 0 0	29 29 29 29	stant n n n n n	

All progenies involving susceptible and resistant parents have observed counts which fit a one factor pair hypothesis for rust resistance. The F2 progenies of K148 x GG208 and GG208 x Cuzco when inoculated with race 901a gave only resistant reactions. (The one susceptible plant in GG208 x Cuzco may have been due to a seed mixture). This suggests that among these resistant strains the same locus is involved in conditioning resistance to 901a. Also, F2 progenies of GG208 x B38 and B38 x K148 have all plants resistant to 901a thus placing the allele in B38 for resistance to 901a in this same series. The F2 of K148 x B38 inoculated by mixture A gave only resistant reactions so that the resistance to 10 rust cultures by B38 may be due to one factor pair. Since the results of resistant x susceptible progenies indicate that a single gene in each source conditions resistance to several races, it is possible that we have an allelic series of four dominant genes.

Although the results discussed above suggest the presence of an allelic series, other observations create some doubts. The F2 of K148 x GG208 inoculated with culture 908 to which K148 is susceptible gave (75) 0; to (24) x type. (The x type is a mixture of 3- and 1- or 0;). If culture 908 was not pure but contained spores to which K148 is resistant then this reaction is as expected. The F2 of K148 x GG208 inoculated with mixture C containing 908 and 927 to which K148 is susceptible gave (76) 0; and (23) x type. Seedlings carrying alleles from K148 would have 1- and 3-type pustules or x type reaction. The F2 of K148 x GG208 inoculated by culture 930 to which GG208 is susceptible gave (53) 0;, (25) x mostly 0; and (21) 3-. However, when this same F2 progeny was inoculated by mixture A containing culture 930 the observations were (57) 0; and (43) 1- with few 3- pustules. The  $F_2$  of B38 x K148 with mixture B gave (56) 0; to (42) x and with mixture E gave (64) 0; (27) xmostly 0; and (12) x mostly 3-. It should be emphasized that it is difficult to establish and maintain pure biotypes of the pathogen and to work with mixtures involving many races. Some readings may be due to such things as contamination, failure of some virulent spores to infect, or perhaps spore competition or even antibiosis. It is also possible that modifying factors may be present and/or genotype-environment interactions occur. Several F3 analyses using single rust cultures recently established as clonal lines from single urediospores are being made either to confirm an allelic series among these four sources of resistance or to determine whether some more complicated segregations which can not be detected in F2 progenies may be present.

W. A. Russell

A. L. Hooker