The effect of background is still not clear, but may be slight (note 1956 data alone).

Outcross tests clearly show a high frequency of maternal haploid parthenogenesis, but not of the same magnitude as in self progenies:

Stock 6 (Rg) X Rr

| Year | <u>Haploids</u> | <u>Total</u> | % Haploids |
|------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| 1955 | 6 | 1,085 | 0.55 |
| 1956 | 186 | 21,196 | 0,88 |
| Both years | 192 | 22,281 | 0.86 |

The percentage above may be a little below the true frequency, as it has been found that stock 6 occasionally shows a weak \underline{R}^r expression. For \underline{R}^r X stock 6, however, no difficulty in classification was experienced:

Rr X stock 6

| Rg haploids | Total | | |
|-------------|-------|--|-------|
| 0 | | | 6,946 |

No sperm-derived haploids were found. Haploid androgenesis probably does not contribute significantly to the high percentage of haploids in selfs.

A very high frequency of heterofertilization occurs in the line, and may be associated with the production of haploids.

5. Test for non-homologous crossing-over in translocation heterozygotes.

The test reported last year is negative. The single case proved to be spurious.

6. Ds and sticky.

Cross:

on.

$$\frac{c \text{ sh wx}}{c + +}, \frac{+}{\text{st}}, \text{ ac } X \frac{C + + Ds}{c + +}, \frac{+}{\text{st}}, \text{ ac}$$

compared with:

$$\frac{c \text{ sh } wx}{c + +}, \frac{+}{st}$$

$$\frac{7}{c + +}, \frac{+}{st}$$